THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.18117 OF 2006

Dated: 13.10.2006

Bhogyam Venkayyachary

…Petitioner

The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Vijayawada and another.

…Respondents

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.18117 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER: *(per Hon'ble Sri Justice D.S.R.Varma)*

Heard the learned Government Pleader for Municipal Administration and Urban Development, appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a petition, dated NIL, addressed to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad, by one Bhogyam Venkayyachary, Resident of Vijayawada, *inter alia*, complaining that the Municipal Corporation of Vijayawada, Krishna District (for brevity "the Corporation")

is dismantling the houses of the citizens in the name of road widening to facilitate the BIRLAS to purchase the houses on auction from the Municipal Corporation and is also imposing “Green Tax” on the ancestral trees, cutting down the old trees and selling away them to private sectors.

3. From a perusal of the petition, it is clear that the grievances expressed by the petitioner are rather devoid of any responsibility. If at all there is any sense of responsibility, the same cannot be termed as responsibility towards the citizens but only making a mockery of the procedure being adopted by the Corporation in connection with the road widening.

4. It is an admitted fact that dismantling of houses was taken up by the first respondent-Corporation for the purpose of road widening. It is not the case of the petitioner that the said road widening and consequential dismantling of houses was not in accordance with law. Further, the allegation that the first respondent-Corporation is imposing “Green Tax” on ancestral trees, cutting down the old trees for selling them to private parties is absolutely vague and in that regard, nothing could be made out from the petition.

5. In the view of the above said circumstances, we do not find any merit in this writ petition and the same is liable to be closed.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is closed, at the stage of admission.

D.S.R.VARMA, J

13.10.2006
DR

P.SWAROOP REDDY, J